

The Coronation of Iran as King of the South



sightedmoon.com/dehioth-rules-the-metonic-19-year-cycles-why-6-million-jews-were-killed/

By Joseph F. Dumond

July 24, 2015

(Extracted from Newsletter 5851-021 Dehioth Rules & The Metonic 19 Year Cycles-Why 6 Million Jews were Killed)

8th day of the 5th month 5851 years after the creation of Adam

The 5th Month in the Sixth year of the Third Sabbatical Cycle

The Third Sabbatical Cycle of the 119th Jubilee Cycle

The Sabbatical Cycle of Earthquakes, Famines and Pestilence

The Year of the Tithe for the Widows, Orphans & Levites

July 25, 2015

Shabbat Shalom Family,

Commentary on the Iran Deal

With this Newsletter the 9th of Av comes on Sunday July 26 using the crescent moon.

There has been a lot going on these past few weeks since the 17th of Tammuz as we mentioned in last week's News Letter, and we will explain even more next week as we finally share the latest prophecy of Abraham. But that is next week.

I want to share some commentary about this Iran deal. It is the beginning of the end. It is historically one of those huge moments that should not pass you by without noting it. This is a deal changing moment and it saddens me and terrifies me at the same time. Death is coming closer to all of our doors.

Just compare history to understand. If you do not know history then you are bound to repeat it.

Much Worse Than Munich

Rick Richman, Commentary | July 15

If one peruses the pages of the New York Times from mid-September 1938 through the first week of October 1938, it is apparent that what we are witnessing today is a virtual replay of those three weeks—only worse.

Two weeks before the Sept. 30, 1938 Munich agreement, Germany increased its demands, while promising (the Times reported) “hearty reciprocal cooperation in the work of solving other problems incidental to a wider European settlement.” A week before the agreement, German “demands had become higher,” but Hitler reassured Chamberlain that they were his “final” ones. A few days before the signing, Hitler appeared before 15,000 people in Berlin’s largest auditorium, where the “Sieg Heils” from the audience “were heard around the globe, for Hitler had a world hook-up” on radio. Chamberlain sent a letter to Hitler stating that Germany’s demands were unacceptable but urged continued negotiations, because “force produces no solution.” By the end of the week, Chamberlain had accepted virtually all of Hitler’s demands. The British leader was, the Times reported, “obviously exhausted and had resolved to make an end of the whole business.”

As soon as the Munich capitulation was signed, it was portrayed as a great success. In the letters published in the Times in the first week of October, one finds 1) a letter suggesting that “the Führer was finally swayed by the moderates” around him and predicting a “more moderate” German policy “from now on”; 2) a letter asserting the “gains” from the Munich agreement “far outweigh the sacrifice” and that Hitler would now “be required to make good his assurance that he has no further territorial claims”; 3) a letter arguing Munich was “the greatest tribute” to Britain and France, since they had exhibited “solicitude for their civilians” by rejecting war; 4) a letter alleging that the “tumultuous cheers given to Mr. Chamberlain in Munich were not so much because he gave Sudetenland back to Germany as because he brought peace”; 5) a letter urging readers not to concentrate on “bemoaning what Czechoslovakia lost,” but to focus on “this outstanding defeat of Hitler’s,” since it had been “proved beyond doubt” that Hitler now realized that “power politics does not work anymore.”

On Oct. 9, 1938, the Times published its weekly “News of the Week in Review,” which observed that a “new Europe began to emerge last week ... as new alignments appeared over the horizon.” There was little doubt, the Times noted, that Germany would soon dominate Eastern Europe and the Balkans, but it reported that Britain saw the agreement as the first step toward stabilizing the Continent. The countries most directly affected, however, had “many doubts,” and made it clear that in the future “they would depend less upon the bulwark of diplomacy than upon the strength of their arms.” The Times then described what had happened during the week:

“Britain, [with] the crisis over ... kept aloof from the events in Czechoslovakia while the government defended its foreign policy in a full-dress Parliamentary debate. From the start,

even though some of the nation's best speakers were ranged against Prime Minister Neville Chamberlain, the outcome was never in doubt.

“Debate was opened by Alfred Duff Cooper, former First Lord of the Admiralty, who resigned in protest against the Chamberlain policy. ‘The prime minister,’ he said ‘has believed in addressing Herr Hitler through the language of sweet reasonableness. I have believed he was more open to the language of the mailed fist.’ Because he could not ‘swallow’ the Munich agreement, he had resigned. ‘I can still,’ he told Parliament, ‘walk about the world with my head erect.’

“Winston Churchill attacked the Munich agreement as an ‘unmitigated defeat’ for Britain and prophesied that it would be but ‘the bitter foretaste of a bitter cup which will be proffered to us year by year unless by a supreme recovery of moral health and martial vigor we rise again and take our stand for freedom as in olden times.’

“Prime Minister Chamberlain and his supporters defended Trumpet Weekly | July 17, 2015 7 what had been done. Said Mr. Chamberlain: ‘The government deserves approval ... for its conduct of affairs in this recent crisis which saved Czechoslovakia from destruction and Europe from Armageddon.’ He insisted that negotiations with the dictatorships, that agreements with them, were the sole alternative to war.” ...

What we are living through now is worse than Munich, not only because we are ignoring the lesson learned from that event ... but because even Chamberlain would be shocked at what is transpiring again.

Chamberlain implemented what was, at the time, a mainstream theory of international relations—that appeasing a dictatorship with respect to its colorable claims could limit its ultimate aims. But at least Chamberlain did not pay Hitler a huge amount of money for signing the agreement. At least he did not finance Hitler's regime at home and his plans abroad. At least he did not publicly assure him he could be a “very successful regional power.” At least he did not proceed without a parliamentary majority. At least he did not adopt a constitutionally suspect procedure enabling him to prevail with a one-third partisan minority. At least he did not assure his fellow citizens they could rest assured it was a good deal because it would have his name on it. At least he did not negotiate a time-limited agreement and acknowledged it would put Germany in a position to prevail at the end of the agreement.

In the past two weeks, Iran increased its demands while holding out the possibility of a new era once the agreement was signed. The “moderate” Iranian president marched with huge crowds behind him holding signs reading “Death to America” and “Death to Israel,” with the pictures flashed throughout the world with the world hook-up of the Internet. After an exhausting 17 days of negotiations, with “deadlines” serially ignored by Iran and seriatim U.S. concessions escalating as they went along, the President and Secretary of State made an end of the whole business by accepting virtually all of Iran's demands, while paving the path toward its ultimate goal.

In 1938, there was Winston Churchill's prophetic eloquence and Alfred Duff Cooper's principled resignation, but they were insufficient to stop the biggest disaster of the 20th century. In the United States 77 years later, Congress will have not one week, but sixty days, to review what is worse than Munich. It is more than enough time to understand the pending disaster. But because of the procedure the president has adopted, the question is not what the majority of the Congress thinks but what one third of it does. We are about to find out if there are any senators and representatives in today's Democratic Party comparable to Churchill or Cooper.

The Coronation of Iran as King of the South

Stephen Flurry | July 15

Back in 1994, then United States President Bill Clinton spoke about a historic deal the U.S. had just made with North Korea: "This is a good deal North Korea will freeze and then dismantle its nuclear program. ... The entire world will be safer The United States and international inspectors will carefully monitor North Korea to make sure it keeps its commitments."

Eight years later, North Korea stunned the world by saying it had been secretly developing a nuclear weapons program since the late 1990s. Today, North Korea is armed to the teeth.

In the situation now unfolding with Iran, we are told it will be different. It has been said that yesterday's deal will verifiably prevent Iran from obtaining a nuclear weapon.

U.S. President Barack Obama said on Tuesday that "Iran will reduce its stockpile of enriched uranium by 98 percent, remove two thirds of its installed centrifuges—the machines necessary to produce highly enriched uranium—and store them under constant international supervision."

We were told that Iran would do all of this during the negotiations, which it didn't. And now that the deal is done, we expect Iran to dismantle everything?

In January, President Obama said: "Our diplomacy is at work with respect to Iran, where, for the first time in a decade, we've halted the progress of its nuclear program and reduced its stockpile of nuclear material."

The reality though is that under the Obama administration's watch, Iran actually increased its nuclear fuel stockpiles by about 20 percent in the 18 months of negotiations.

Celebrate Good Times

You can see why they were cheering in Tehran yesterday!

As Con Coughlin wrote, "You only had to look at the beaming smiles on the faces of the Iranian negotiating team to see who had emerged as the undisputed winners."

Two years ago, the Iranians were desperate for some kind of deal. Today, they are celebrating—and they have good reason to!

After the deal was announced, Iranian President Hassan Rouhani said, “Negotiators have reached a good agreement, and I announce to our people that our prayers have come true.”

Here is what the Iranians said, through the nation’s state-controlled news agency, about yesterday’s deal: “Rouhani said his country has achieved all its four goals in the agreement”

Besides sanctions relief, the Islamic Republic also gets the release of around \$150 billion in frozen assets. And think about the international legitimacy this gives Iran. Far from pushing the mullahs out of power, this agreement only solidifies their position.

There will be no regime change.

An American Surrender

For the U.S., this represents a total surrender! Go back and look at what America said after the 9/11 terrorist attacks. At the time, President George W. Bush identified Iran as one of three nations in the “axis of evil,” and told Congress that the war against terrorism wouldn’t end “until every terrorist group of global reach has been found, stopped and defeated.”

At the Trumpet, we said that U.S.-led effort was doomed to fail from the very beginning because America lacked the will to face the problem head on.

Analysts often called Iran the head of the terrorist snake. But America chose to call its campaign the “War on Terror.” We chose to fight against a battle tactic, rather than against radical Islam or the number one state sponsor of terrorism. This is why we wrote, within weeks of the 9/11 catastrophe, that the number one state sponsor of terrorism would not only survive America’s retaliatory wrath, but emerge from the war “stronger than ever.”

We wrote that at the end of 2001! And today, the Iranian head of the snake is fully intact and unquestionably stronger than ever.

The Response From Israel

They are celebrating this deal in Iran. But in the nation of Israel, the reaction is the opposite.

Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu said: “The world is a much more dangerous place today than it was yesterday. The leading international powers have bet our collective future in a deal with a formal sponsor of international terrorism. ... In the coming decade, the deal will reward Iran, the terrorist regime in Tehran, with hundreds of billions of dollars. This cash bonanza will fuel Iran’s terrorism worldwide Amazingly, this bad deal does not require Iran to cease its aggressive behavior in any way.”

He's right. Not even economic hardship has been able to dissuade the regime from sponsoring terrorism. Soon, it will be flooded with cash. America has just unleashed a monster!

The Big Picture

When we consider the sobering implications of Tuesday's deal, it is vital to view it in the context of Bible prophecy. Daniel 11:40 contains a key end-time prophecy about a time in the very near future: "And at the time of the end shall the king of the south push at him: and the king of the north shall come against him like a whirlwind, with chariots, and with horsemen, and with many ships; and he shall enter into the countries, and shall overflow and pass over."

The Trumpet identified Iran as the prophesied "king of the south" back in 1994. Iran is king. Now think about what just happened Tuesday!

Since the end of World War II, it is the U.S. that has maintained security and order in the Middle East. For nearly 70 years, America has been the strongest force for stability in the Middle East.

But yesterday, the U.S. effectively ceded control of the region to the number one state sponsor of terrorism! Yesterday, America coronated Iran as king! That's how the Bible labels this nation — the "king" of the south.

The Trumpet has long explained that the "king of the north" discussed in Daniel 11:40 is the German-led European combine.

The Bible says Iran will aggressively "push" at Europe. Germany will then retaliate forcefully against this Islamic push and then enter into Jerusalem.

Iran's pattern has always been to provoke Europe and the West with its pushy foreign policy.

It is important that "Israel" (a name that refers to the United States in end-time prophecies) isn't even mentioned in this Daniel 11:40 war! Sobering clues as to why that is the case are everywhere today. For the past several years, for example, America has been desperately working to get out of the Middle East and to hand control over to the king of the south.

In 2009, after President Obama's Cairo speech, Trumpet editor in chief Gerald Flurry said: "How much did America's president help the terrorist cause? Probably far more than we imagine." He went on to explain that the president's speech would have a powerful impact on the flow of prophetic events. He wrote, "President Obama's speech is a great turning point in this world. It is going to play a major role in terrifying prophecies of your Bible being fulfilled."

Watch and Pray

My father recently said that he has never seen so many Bible prophecies being fulfilled this fast! Time is short.

Yeshua said, “Take ye heed, watch and pray: for ye know not when the time is” (Mark 13:33). Bring God into your watching, in other words. “Watch ye therefore: for ye know not when the master of the house cometh, at even, or at midnight, or at the cockcrowing, or in the morning: Lest coming suddenly he find you sleeping” (verses 35-36). The word “watch” means to be awake and be vigilant! It means to pay attention and be active.

The pace of prophetic events marches on inexorably and without delay. Mankind’s experiment with self-government is about over. How do we know? Because the events Yeshua Himself prophesied to be a sign of the end of the age and His soon-coming return are now coming to pass—exactly as He said they would.