The Bismallah – No Man can Buy or Sell Without The Mark



sightedmoon.com/the-bismillah/

By Joseph F. Dumond

News Letter 5855-010

The 3rd Year of the 4th Sabbatical Cycle
The 24th year of the 120th Jubilee Cycle
The 27th day of the 2nd month 5855 years after the creation of Adam

The 2nd Month in the Third year of the Fourth Sabbatical Cycle
The 42nd Day of Counting the Omer The 6th Sabbath
The 4th Sabbatical Cycle after the 119th Jubilee Cycle
The Third Year Tithe for the Widows and Orphans and Levites
The Sabbatical Cycle of Sword, Famines, and Pestilence

May 4, 2019

Shabbat Shalom to the Royal Family of Yehovah,

No Man Can Buy Or Sell unless they approve the Mark of the Beast

I must admit brethren that there are times I doubt myself and the things I have shared with you. I cannot tell you how many times I have asked Yehovah for confirmation of these things and to help my doubting faith. I get discouraged when I see the amount of resistance to our message in Christian groups. Like the ad campaign mentioned above. I keep trying to find some in those groups and walk away shaking my head in sadness at just how blind they are.

This past Monday, April 29, 2019, I was in such a mood. So I Prayed to Yehovah as I drove to work and asked Him repeatedly for confirmation, an angel, a dream I could know was from Him, something, anything to put my doubts about all that I am teaching at ease. I again reminded Yehovah what He has said in Amos.

Amo 3:6 Shall the trumpet be blown in a city, and the people not be afraid? shall evil befall a city, and Jehovah hath not done it? Surely the Lord Jehovah will do nothing, except he reveal his secret unto his servants the prophets. The lion hath roared; who will not fear? The Lord Jehovah hath spoken; who can but prophesy?

And I do not think we have adequately warned the Israelite nations of what is coming. But it does not say Yehovah will warn the nations. No, it says He will warn His prophets. And Ezekiel says we are who have heard are to warn the people. So I do what I can with what I have and those of you helping are part of that effort and I thank you. But it is never enough. So in frustration, I again ask Yehovah to send me reassurance.

When I got home from work I checked my emails and I had a note from a sister and it rocked me. It was just a link to the article below. No comments. And I sat there after reading it stunned. This can't be happening. And yes, indeed it is.

I had no intention of speaking to you about the subjects covered in this week's News Letter. I had wanted to teach you about Shavuot, but shortly after I began to do that I got sidelined into this and that and now I see Yehovah is orchestrating this News Letter for you. I was at first led into the anniversary of the Armenian Genocide over 100 years ago committed by the religion of Peace. Then that led me to read a teacher I do not often refer to and now feel I must include his perspective. And then after all that I was sent this article below.

All of this revolves around this scripture in revelation. Read this entire article with the deliberate understanding of the times we are now in and going deeper into.

Rev 13:16 And he causeth all, the small and the great, and the rich and the poor, and the free and the bond, that there be given them a mark on their right hand, or upon their forehead; and that no man should be able to buy or to sell, save he that hath the mark, even the name of the beast or the number of his name. Here is wisdom. He that hath understanding, let him count the number of the beast; for it is the number of a man: and his number is Six hundred and sixty and six.

Bank of America just shut down all bank accounts

belonging to a Baptist Pastor because of his Christian beliefs

04/23/2019 / By Lance D Johnson



Bank of America shut down all the bank accounts associated with The Faithful Word Baptist Church in Tempe, Arizona. Pastor Steven Anderson spoke out in a YouTube video. All accounts

associated with his ministry have been frozen without notice. "We can't even get our money out," exclaimed Pastor Anderson. "We can't even walk into the bank and withdraw our money they just froze everything, shut everything down."

Pastor Anderson has not violated any policies published by Bank of America. In the coming weeks the bank plans to mail Pastor Anderson a cashier's check for the current balance in the church's accounts. In the meantime, the church cannot organize, pay bills, or give in any charitable way. Bank of America has given no official explanation for "de-banking" the church. The church has not

been accused of money laundering or violating the Patriot Act. They have simply been denied services.

Christians being segregated from society because of their so-called "hate" Pastor Andersen believes that Bank of America is "persecuting" the church and those of Christian faith. Regardless of the bank's abrupt decision, Pastor Andersen is not deterred. He says, "We're not slowing down at all. Everything's going full speed ahead at Faithful Word Baptist Church."

There is great reason to believe that Pastor Andersen was denied services at Bank of America because of his fundamentalist teachings on homosexuality. Many in the LGBT community strictly oppose the Christian message on homosexuality and believe that it is "hate speech." Social media giants use "hate speech" policies to shut down accounts that are not in agreement with the LGBT community. Facebook and Twitter have shut down user accounts because they violated "community standards" regarding so-called "hateful content." Are the Big Banks adopting the same kind of "hate speech" policies that cow-tow to the LGBT speech control police? If so, then they should come clean with a new policy stating their war against Christianity.

The Southern Poverty Law Center publishes a list of more than 950 organizations that allegedly engage in "hate speech" against immigrants, Islam, and homosexuality. The SPLC is currently working with PayPal, Amazon Smile, and other financial institutions to deny online money transfer services to individuals who commit "hate speech." Is Bank of America following in the same path?

Christian conservatives are slowly being gagged online and forced to denounce their beliefs in order to participate in today's society. "Hate speech" policies target Christian conservatives when they speak about their beliefs or minister to people. If Christian speech is found to be "offensive" to the LGBT community, Christians can now be de-platformed on social media, shadow-banned, or denied financial services. This is the segregated America we now live in, where espousing Conservative Christian beliefs can automatically exclude you from being able to participate in society and American democracy.

Twisted "hate speech" policies segregate Conservatives and Christians
It's not just Christian beliefs that are being blacklisted. "Offensive" truths are blacklisted, from
WikiLeaks to Infowars. Conservative commentator Gavin McInnes, conservative activist Tommy
Robinson and investigative journalist Laura Loomer have all been blocked from using PayPal. Are
we entering an age where people are forced to think the same way in order to conduct financial
transactions?


Social media companies and financial institutions are being encouraged to tackle "hate." Apple CEO Tim Cook brought home some "Courage Against Hate" award at a New York gala sponsored by the Anti-Defamation League. Cook postured, "We only have one message for those who seek to push hate, division, and violence: You have no place on our platforms. You have no home here."


Preventing violence is a virtuous endeavor, but the problem is that one group's definition of "hate" is being used to censor individuals and groups that they don't agree with or like. This virtue signaling unjustly targets specific beliefs, stifling an individual's online speech, restricting organic influence, and stopping business transactions.

The real hate emanates from those who force compliance to particular ideas. The law should uphold the right of the individual to speak freely, minister to others, and engage in commerce without even the slightest fear they will be denied services from politically-motivated banks and technology companies.

For the latest on this issue, check out Censorship. News and Intolerance. News.

And on Friday, May 3rd Pauline sent me the following note. Her son in New Zealand was tossed into FaceBook Jail for sharing our post on the counting of the omer.





I do hope all of you have signed up for our News Letter to be sent directly to you and not relying on Facebook to show it to you when it comes out. Below is what he was sharing.

Day 41 of Counting the Days to Pentecost, Today is the sixth day of the sixth week of seven weeks. Today is the forty-first day of the counting of fifty days from the day of the waving of the Omer on the morrow after the Sabbath.

Psa 67:1-7 Elohim does favour us and bless us, Cause His face to shine upon us. Selah. 2 For Your way to be known on earth, Your deliverance among all nations. 3 Let the peoples praise You, O Elohim, Let all the peoples praise You. 4 Let the nations be glad and sing for joy! For You judge the peoples uprightly, And lead the nations on earth. Selah. 5 Let the peoples praise You, O Elohim; Let all the peoples praise You. 6 The earth shall give her increase; Elohim, our own Elohim, blesses us! 7 Elohim blesses us! And all the ends of the earth fear Him!

- Psa 103:1 A Psalm of David. Bless Jehovah, O my soul; And all that is within me, bless his holy name.
- Psa 103:2 Bless Jehovah, O my soul, And forget not all his benefits:
- Psa 103:3 Who forgiveth all thine iniquities; Who healeth all thy diseases;
- Psa 103:4 Who redeemeth thy life from destruction; Who crowneth thee with lovingkindness and tender mercies;
- Psa 103:5 Who satisfieth thy desire with good things, So that thy youth is renewed like the eagle.
- Psa 103:6 Jehovah executeth righteous acts, And judgments for all that are oppressed.
- Psa 103:7 He made known his ways unto Moses, His doings unto the children of Israel.
- Psa 103:8 Jehovah is merciful and gracious, Slow to anger, and abundant in lovingkindness.
- Psa 103:9 He will not always chide; Neither will he keep his anger for ever.
- Psa 103:10 He hath not dealt with us after our sins, Nor rewarded us after our iniquities.
- Psa 103:11 For as the heavens are high above the earth, So great is his lovingkindness toward them that fear him.
- Psa 103:12 As far as the east is from the west, So far hath he removed our transgressions from us.
- Psa 103:13 Like as a father pitieth his children, So Jehovah pitieth them that fear him.
- Psa 103:14 For he knoweth our frame; He remembereth that we are dust.
- Psa 103:15 As for man, his days are as grass; As a flower of the field, so he flourisheth.
- Psa 103:16 For the wind passeth over it, and it is gone; And the place thereof shall know it no more.
- Psa 103:17 But the lovingkindness of Jehovah is from everlasting to everlasting upon them that fear him, And his righteousness unto children's children;
- Psa 103:18 To such as keep his covenant, And to those that remember his precepts to do them.
- Psa 103:19 Jehovah hath established his throne in the heavens; And his kingdom ruleth over all.
- Psa 103:20 Bless Jehovah, ye his angels, That are mighty in strength, that fulfil his word, Hearkening unto the voice of his word.
- Psa 103:21 Bless Jehovah, all ye his hosts, Ye ministers of his, that do his pleasure.
- Psa 103:22 Bless Jehovah, all ye his works, In all places of his dominion: Bless Jehovah, O my soul.



A quick update. This News Letter has now been banned on FaceBook.

facebook Log Out

We Removed Something You Posted

It looks like something you posted doesn't follow our Community Standards.



Joseph Dumond

The Bismillah - *|https://sightedmoon.com/the-bismillah/|* And he causeth all, small and the great, and the rich and the poor, and the free and the bond, that there be given them a mark on their right hand, or upon their forehead; and that no man should be able to buy or to sell, save he that hath the mark, even the name of the beast or the number of his name. Here is wisdom. He that hath understanding, let him count the number of the beast; for it is the number of a man: and his number is 666.




You see, it's been our misfortune to have the wrong religion. Why didn't we have the religion of the Japanese, who regard sacrifice for the Fatherland as the highest good? The Mohammedan religion [Islam] too would have been more compatible to us than Christianity. Why did it have to be Christianity with its meekness and flabbiness?

— Adolf Hitler —

AZQUOTES

Continue




The Censor Police will not allow me to post a direct quote from a historical character. I double checked the quote to make sure it was real. And it seems to be so. Know and understand that you will soon not be able to speak anything that contravenes the new morality and the new history that they will have to rewrite in order to meet the new values.

If you have not signed up for this newsletter in then now would be a good time to do so.

What if German was Combined with the Religion of Peace?


Before you begin to read this News Letter, You do need to watch this video. I do not know the man speaking here last year, but his comments are ringing the alarm bell very loudly as we have been warning you.

Here is a quote by Adolph Hitler from "Inside the Third Reich". Book by Albert Speer, p. 115, 1969.



My question in the title here is asking you to think about what it would have been like if the Third Reich was an Islamic Army and not the Chrisitan one it was during WW II. What would have happened?

Actually, during WW II there was a part of the SS that was known for being the worse of the worse. If you want to learn more about Germany and who they are then I urge you to read this News Letter. Know that the videos in it have been removed by YouTube and banned. Soon this article too could be banned. So watch the video below and consider the times we are in. 1 Million plus people from Syria and North Africa have invaded Germany, all of whom are from the religion of Peace.



What is His Number?

In 2013 I spoke at Falls Creek Falls in Tennessee for Sukkot. I was the only speaker and I spoke every day about what was soon going to come and today now is. This was the first time I was able to share

everything in detail and not try to jam it into a three hour teaching. No, I had 8 days to explain it and I did each and every day.

By the time I got the section I titled The 2300 Days of Hell, most people were in tune with what I was saying. By the end of that day's teaching the whole audience was in tears and could not talk. It was one of the quietest endings to my teaching I had ever experienced. Everyone left the hall wiping their eyes and did not talk. After some of the people came up to me and said how this could not happen and that it would not happen. I know of one lady who was upset with her husband about this days teaching and let him know it. It would be years later that she would be watching the Nightly News and say to her husband, "This is what Joe was telling us was coming and now it is on the News".

Even in the editing of The 2300 Days of Hell video, many of the stories I told that day were edited out as being too graphic or too over the top. I had to fight hard and get those who were there to write the editor in order to get some of the things I was saying put back into the teaching.

This past week I had two people wanting to know which videos they should watch and I showed this the following list and the order they should watch them in. There are still more videos, but these are the ones everyone needs to watch right now. Some may look the same but they are parts one and part two of the same teaching. And yes some parts are long and drawn out. I do this so that you can fact check everything I am saying and sharing. Prove it wrong if you can.

It has now been 6 years since we did the teaching shared above. Since that time ISIS came to power and Osama Bin Laden was killed. The ISIS Caliphate was destroyed. Over 1 Million Syrian Refugees flooded into Germany. And many more Muslim refugees have swamped Europe. The raping of white women in Sweden and Norway and other very liberal western nations has gone through the roof and off the charts. "No Go Zones" have sprung up everywhere Islamic believers are found in Europe and Britain and the USA. The Philippines had their war with Islamic extremist in Marawi just as I had warned them would come, on The 700 Club TV program.

Since we first shared these things in 2013, 6 years ago now, you have seen the silencing of all those voices who dared to speak up. We spoke of this in our recent article, <u>The Silencing of the lambs</u>.

A week after Fox News host Jeanine Pirro went after Minnesota Democratic Rep. Ilhan Omar for wearing a hijab her show has been pulled from the schedule.

During her broadcast last Saturday, Pirro, a former New York prosecutor, suggested Omar, a Democratic representative, did not respect the law set down by the Constitution of the United States. "Is her adherence to this Islamic doctrine indicative of her adherence to Sharia law, which is antithetical to the U.S. Constitution?" Pirro asked.

A handful of advertisers have in recent days expressed their unease. Personal-finance marketer NerdWallet, pharmaceutical advertiser Novo Nordis and online marketplace Letgo have indicated in press statements they will all stop running ads in Pirro's program.

And that is how you are being silenced one program at a time. Sponsors afraid of the backlash and pulling their funds. You can see this same sort of thing being done by the liberals as they try to shut down anyone who speaks an opposing view by getting them fired and pulling all financial means they had.

After I spoke in 2013, you heard about the kidnapping of 300 Nigerian girls in 2014 by Boko Haram. This prompted the "Bring Back our Girls" Campaign which never did anything.

Last week I was sharing the following posts on FaceBook. At the same time, I was also talking to a man I work with who recently had to flee Mosel Iraq before the Gulf War and came to Canada. He is a Christian and claims to be Assyrian by decent. He tells me many horror stories.

Last fall with my daughter in Israel we stopped by the Armenian section and ended up buying some Armenian pottery as souvenirs.




My daughter and I sat in this man's store and were told the entire story about how his family was eradicated, which is a fancy word for Genocide. That was the Armenian Genocide that is denied ever took place by Turkey. But it is said to have begun April 24, 1915.

The Armenian Genocide, also known as the Armenian Holocaust, was the Ottoman government's systematic extermination of 1.5 million Armenians, mostly citizens within the Ottoman Empire. The starting date is conventionally held to be 24 April 1915, the day that Ottoman authorities rounded up, arrested, and deported from Constantinople (now Istanbul) to the region of Ankara, 235 to 270 Armenian intellectuals and community leaders, the majority of whom were eventually murdered. The genocide was carried out during and after World War I and implemented in two phases—the wholesale killing of the able-bodied male population through massacre and subjection of army conscripts to forced labour, followed by the deportation of women, children, the elderly, and the infirm on death marches leading to the Syrian Desert. Driven forward by military escorts, the deportees were deprived of food and water and subjected to periodic robbery, rape, and massacre. Other ethnic groups were similarly targeted for extermination in the Assyrian genocide and the Greek genocide, and their treatment is considered by some historians to be part of the same genocidal policy. Most Armenian diaspora communities around the world came into being as a direct result of the genocide.

Raphael Lemkin was moved specifically by the annihilation of the Armenians to define systematic and premeditated exterminations within legal parameters and coin the word genocide in 1943. The Armenian Genocide is acknowledged to have been one of the first modern genocides, because scholars point to the organized manner in which the killings were carried out. It is the second most studied case of genocide after the Holocaust.

I have ordered the following book to read. Here are a couple of articles about the book Sword and Scimitar.



The Muslim Man's Sexual "Rights" Over Non-Muslim Women

02/11/2016 by Raymond Ibrahim

In word and deed, in Islamic and non-Islamic nations, Muslim men appear to think that non-Muslim women—impure "infidels"—exist solely to gratify their sexual urges.

First, consider the beliefs and actions of those committed to waging jihad for the cause of Allah, such as the Islamic State:

In the moments before he raped the 12-year-old [non-Muslim] girl, the Islamic State fighter took the time to explain that what he was about to do was not a sin. Because the preteen girl practiced a religion other than Islam, the Quran not only gave him the right to rape her — it condoned and encouraged it, he insisted. He bound her hands and gagged her. Then he knelt beside the bed and prostrated himself in prayer before getting on top of her. When it was over, he knelt to pray again, bookending the rape with acts of religious devotion. "I kept telling him it hurts — please stop," said the girl, whose body is so small an adult could circle her waist with two hands. "He told me that according to Islam he is allowed to rape an unbeliever. He said that by raping me, he is drawing closer to" Allah.

Yet such behavior is not limited to fanatical jihadis, who have "nothing whatsoever to do with Islam," as most fools and liars will assure us; rather it permeates the totality of Islamic culture.

Consider recent events in Pakistan: three Christian girls walking home after a hard day's work were accosted by four "rich and drunk" Muslims—hardly ISIS candidates—in a car. They "misbehaved," yelled "suggestive and lewd comments," and harassed the girls to get in their car for "a ride and some fun." When the girls declined the "invitation," adding that they were "devout Christians and did not practice sex outside of marriage," the men became enraged and chased the girls, yelling, "How dare you run away from us, Christian girls are only meant for one thing: the pleasure of Muslim men." They drove their car into the three girls, killing one and severely injuring the other two.

Or consider the words of human rights activists speaking about another Muslim man's rape of a 9year-old Christian girl: "Such incidents occur frequently. Christian girls are considered goods to be damaged at leisure. Abusing them is a right. According to the community's mentality it is not even a crime. Muslims regard them as spoils of war."

Once relegated to third world countries like Pakistan and ISIS-controlled areas, the subhuman treatment and sexual abuse of "infidel" women is becoming a common fixture in the West.

Thus recently in Germany, a group of Muslim "refugees" stalked a 25-year-old woman at night, hurled "filthy" insults and taunted her for sex. One told her that "German women are there for sex," before reaching into her blouse and trousers and groping her.

These recent stories from Germany and Pakistan are identical—Muslim men harassing non-Muslim women on the assumption that it's their Islamic right and privilege—except for one difference: the German "infidel" escaped with her life, whereas the Pakistani "infidel" was murdered for refusing to gratify the sexual desires of her Islamic accosters. As Islam's presence continues to grow in Europe, this difference will quickly fade.

Already there are other, more subtle similarities between "third world" Pakistan and "first world" Germany. In the report about the manslaughter of the three Christian girls in Pakistan, we find that "Other girls in the local area are now too scared to travel at night and are being accompanied by the men in their families." In Germany, "The latest reports from Dortmund [where the "German women-are-there-for-sex" anecdote transpired] paint a terrifying picture of a city where it is now unsafe for women to go out at night for fear of being attacked and raped by refugees."

Indeed, less than a month earlier, on New Year's Eve, 1,000 Muslim migrants, also apparently thinking that non-Muslim women exist for one thing, went on a raping spree in Cologne and elsewhere, leaving hundreds of "infidel" women violated, beaten, and traumatized—the same way "infidel" women living in Muslim-majority nations often feel.

Of course, well before the migrant crisis, Europe had and ignored ample lessons concerning what happens when Muslim populations grow. In Britain alone, where a large Muslim minority has long existed, countless British girls in various regions have been sexually abused and gang raped by Muslims who apparently deemed it their Islamic right. Said one rape victim: "The men who did this to me have no remorse. They would tell me that what they were doing was OK in their culture." Days ago a judge told 12 Muslim men that they "took such terrible and heartless sexual advantage" of a 13-year-old British girl before sentencing them.


A Muslim imam in Britain confessed that Muslim men are taught that women are "second-class citizens, little more than chattels or possessions over whom they have absolute authority" and that the imams preach a doctrine "that denigrates all women, but treats whites [meaning non-Muslims] with particular contempt."

Another Muslim convicted of rape in a separate case told a British court that sharing non-Muslim girls for sex "was part of Somali culture" and "a religious requirement."

And there it is: Whether seen by "pious" Muslims as a "religious requirement"—as cited by an ISIS rapist to his 12-year-old victim—or whether seen as part of Pakistani (Asian) and Somali (African) culture—that is, Islamic culture—the subhuman treatment and sexual degradation of non-Muslim women and children by Muslims who deem it their "right" is apparently another "exoticism" the West must embrace if it wishes to keep worshipping at the altar of multiculturalism.

Note: The author's recent book, <u>Sword and Scimitar</u>: Fourteen Centuries of War between Islam and the West, documents the widespread sexual enslavement and degradation of European women over the centuries.

Armenian Genocide Remembrance Day: Revisiting Islam's Greatest Slaughter of Christians



Armenian Christian civilians, escorted by armed Ottoman Muslim soldiers, are marched through Harput (known as Kharpert by Armenians, the kaza of the Mamuret-ul Aziz), to a prison in the nearby Mezireh (via Wikipedia)

Today, April 24, marks the "Great Crime," that is, the genocide of Christians—mostly Armenians but also Assyrians—that took place under the Islamic Ottoman Empire throughout World War I. Then, the Turks liquidated approximately 1.5 million Armenians and 300,000 Assyrians.

Most objective American historians who have studied the question unequivocally agree that it was a deliberate, calculated genocide:

More than one million Armenians perished as the result of execution, starvation, disease, the harsh environment, and physical abuse. A people who lived in eastern Turkey for nearly 3,000 years [more than double the amount of time the invading Islamic Turks had occupied Anatolia, now known as "Turkey"] lost its homeland and was profoundly decimated in the first large-scale genocide of the twentieth century. At the beginning of 1915 there were some two million Armenians within Turkey; today there are fewer than 60,000.... Despite the vast amount of evidence that points to the historical reality of the Armenian Genocide, eyewitness accounts, official archives, photographic evidence, the reports of diplomats, and the testimony of survivors, denial of the Armenian Genocide by successive regimes in Turkey has gone on from 1915 to the present.

Similarly, in 1920, U.S. Senate Resolution 359 heard testimony that included evidence of "[m]utilation, violation, torture, and death [which] have left their haunting memories in a hundred beautiful Armenian valleys, and the traveler in that region is seldom free from the evidence of this

most colossal crime of all the ages."

In her memoir, Ravished Armenia, Aurora Mardiganian described being raped and thrown into a harem (consistent with Islam's rules of war). Unlike thousands of other Armenian girls who were discarded after being defiled, she managed to escape. In the city of Malatia, she saw 16 Christian girls crucified: "Each girl had been nailed alive upon her cross," she wrote, "spikes through her feet and hands, only their hair blown by the wind, covered their bodies." Such scenes were portrayed in the 1919 documentary film Auction of Souls, some of which is based on Mardiganian's memoirs.

Whereas the genocide is largely acknowledged in the West, one of its primary if not fundamental causes is habitually overlooked: religion. The genocide is usually articulated through a singularly secular paradigm, one that factors only things that are intelligible from a secular, Western point of view—such as identity and gender politics, nationalism, and territorial disputes. Such an approach does little more than project modern Western perspectives onto vastly different civilizations and eras.

War, of course, is another factor that clouds the true face of the genocide. Because these atrocities mostly occurred during World War I, so the argument goes, they are ultimately a reflection of just that—war, in all its chaos and destruction, and nothing more. But as Winston Churchill, who described the massacres as an "administrative holocaust," correctly observed, "The opportunity [WWI] presented itself for clearing Turkish soil of a Christian race." Even Adolf Hitler had pointed out that "Turkey is taking advantage of the war in order to thoroughly liquidate its internal foes, i.e., the indigenous Christians, without being thereby disturbed by foreign intervention."

It's worth noting that little has changed; in the context of war in Iraq, Syria, and Libya, the first to be targeted for genocide have been Christians and other minorities.

But even the most cited factor of the Armenian Genocide, "ethnic identity conflict," while legitimate, must be understood in light of the fact that, historically, religion accounted more for a person's identity than language or heritage. This is daily demonstrated throughout the Islamic world today, where Muslim governments and Muslim mobs persecute Christian minorities who share the same race, ethnicity, language, and culture; minorities who are indistinguishable from the majority—except, of course, for being non-Muslims, or "infidels."

As one Armenian studies professor asks, "If it [the Armenian Genocide] was a feud between Turks and Armenians, what explains the genocide carried out by Turkey against the Christian Assyrians at the same time?"

Indeed, according to a 2017 book, Year of the Sword: The Assyrian Christian Genocide:

[The] policy of ethnic cleansing was stirred up by pan-Islamism and religious fanaticism. Christians were considered infidels (kafir). The call to Jihad, decreed on 29 November 1914 and instigated and orchestrated for political ends, was part of the plan" to "combine and

sweep over the lands of Christians and to exterminate them." As with the Armenians, eyewitness accounts tell of the sadistic eye-gouging of Assyrians and the gang rape of their children on church altars. According to key documents, all this was part of "an Ottoman plan to exterminate Turkey's Christians.

To understand how the historic genocide of Armenians and Assyrians is representative of the modern-day plight of Christians under Islam, one need only read the following words written in 1918 by President Theodore Roosevelt; however, read "Armenian" as "Christian" and "Turkish" as "Islamic," as supplied in brackets:

the Armenian [Christian] massacre was the greatest crime of the war, and the failure to act against Turkey [the Islamic world] is to condone it... the failure to deal radically with the Turkish [Islamic] horror means that all talk of guaranteeing the future peace of the world is mischievous nonsense.

Indeed, if we "fail to deal radically" with the "horror" currently being visited upon millions of Christians around the Islamic world—which in some areas reached genocidal proportions—we "condone it" and had better cease talking "mischievous nonsense" of a utopian world of peace and tolerance.

Put differently, silence is always the ally of those who would liquidate the "other." In 1915, Adolf Hitler rationalized his genocidal plans, which he implemented some three decades later, when he rhetorically asked: "Who, after all, speaks today of the annihilation of the Armenians?"

And who among today's major politicians speaks—let alone does anything—about the ongoing annihilation of Christians by Muslims, most recently (but not singularly) seen in the Easter Sunday church bombings of Sri Lanka that left over 300 dead?

Note: See author's recent book, Sword and Scimitar: Fourteen Centuries of War between Islam and the West, for more on Turks and Armenians — including how the first "genocide" of Armenians at the hands of Turks actually began one-thousand years ago, in the year 1019.

Here is a trailer of this movie titled The Promise which you can buy from Amazon and watch.



The pic above is from a 1919 film depicting the cruelty metted upon a group of 19 teenage Armenian Christian girls who were gang raped by Muslim soldeirs of the Ottoman state.

The movie did not however depict the gruesome killing as even for today's desensitized world of Internet gore, the gruesome murder of these young girls by Muslim soldeirs will make any die hard gore viewer turn away in appalling disgust.

The actual narrative goes that these young girls after suffering the most debased form of sexual torture and rape at the hands of Turkish Muslim soldeirs where later impaled on a cross and a spike driven into their private parts.

Death came slowly but painfully with the Muslim soldeirs gleefully laughing at them.

Today, Turkey is 100% Muslim.

If anyone tells you that no one can Islamize Nigeria then that person is highly deluded.

Jihad is just the first step to colonizing and conquering. This they have done effectively in the north and middle belt and are currently asserting their terror grip once more with groups like boko haram in the Christian NE and Fulani jihadist in the middle belt.

The next step is to make sharia law supreme which they are doing silently in the national assembly.

Once that becomes successful then as a non Muslim you automatically become a 2nd tier citizen and your rights denied.

You will not be allowed to serve in the military which to a large extent they have already achieved.

You will pay more taxes and your land becomes that of the Muslim state – grazing bill, more taxes for the Christian south to subsidize the almajiri north and your resources their exclusive rights. Even your women are spoils of war.

It is just a matter of time.

If you have not yet watched our teachings, you now have them all above and in the order, you are to watch them. If you have not read our book <u>The 2300 Days of Hell</u>, I am hoping this will motivate you to get it and read it while you have time to do so. And if I am correct about those things I am saying in that book then you have just a little bit of time left to actually read it.

I never wanted to be correct about those things I was telling you in 2013 and before and still after. And many of you who strongly disagreed with me then, are now saying "that was what I was explaining then." And now that you are seeing these things are you telling others to get our book or the e-books and to repent and draw closer to Yehovah? By remaining silent, you contribute to the genocide of our people that is about to take place. That is not a typo. I do mean genocide of all those not of the Islamic faith.

I cannot tell you enough of what is coming nor can I write the words. I will leave you with these links. <u>This</u> one is graphic. This one the censor police will not allow you to go to the pictures themselves.

Our book is called *The 2300 Days of Hell* for a reason. You need to understand and warn others.

666

<u>Everyone in the west who have</u> tried to figure out the name of the antichrist over the years, saying that people like Ronald Reagan or Henry Kissinger or Richard Nixon or Bill Clinton or even now Barack Obama is the antichrist have all been wrong. Ridiculous assumptions at the very least.

Why? Because they use English Gematria, which is the assignment of numbers. (There are seven basic types of Gematria) to try to calculate the name, using a number assigned for each letter of the

alphabet. Secondly, the West does not understand it's not about them and never has been, it's about the Middle East.

Let's take a look at Revelation 13:18. "Here is wisdom. Let him who has understanding calculate the number of the beast, for the number is that of a man; and his number is six hundred and sixty-six." The New Testament was translated from Hebrew (John's language) into Greek and then into English. Studying the manuscript evidence, in the "Codex Vaticanus" dated 350 AD those three letters (that were translated 666) are not Greek in that sentence but were translated that way wrongly. They are actually **Arabic letters.** John wrote what he saw the bible says, so when it came to 666, it was not Greek text. Even in the original manuscript in Greek when you turn the three letters, they become and look exactly like the Arabic letters. What it means is **Bis'm Allah or "In the Name of Allah! That is the very thing that all the Islamic warriors wear on the headbands and armbands on their right arm!**

These three letters carry secondary meanings, but not a primary meaning! Remember it's his number, his name, NOT ours. It has nothing to do with an implant or UPC code or pin number nor nano power or technology at all. It's the creed of Islam! Let's break it down further. In verse 18 the word for wisdom is Sophia=meaning broad and full intelligence. The word count means=reckon, decide by voting. The word number (mentioned three times) means=a definite number OR an indefinite number, a multitude!

Walid Shoebat, a Muslim born in Bethlehem, and a former terrorist bomber and hater of Jews and Christians, came to know the Messiah Jesus himself and has now appeared on many radio and TV programs witnessing about his born again experience. He states at the time of his conversion to Christianity, he was a member of a group who were in the planning stages of jihad upon America. He goes on to say "there is no such thing as a peace-loving Muslim Brotherhood." He goes on to say the West is fooled by the word democracy in uprising Muslim nations. They will vote once and then democracy is over. He states the Islamic Messiah, called the Mahdi, is the mirror image of the anti-christ!

Every nation Messiah (Jesus) fights in the bible is Muslim. Not European or American or Canadian or South American, but Muslim. Revelation 13:17, 18 and that 666 number is the number of his name. It is not a literal name, it has nothing to do with a literal western name! It is the Islamic creed "I declare there is no god but Allah and Mohammad is his messenger." The mark of the beast is **The Creed!** So this is how it should really read translated correctly: "Here is wisdom, Let him that hath understanding decide [who] the multitude of the beast [is]; for it is the multitude of a man [that is, Muhammad]; and his multitude are "in the name of Allah."

Swearing allegiance or identifying yourself to this coming leader who's symbolism is of the antichrist aligning with 666 is the REAL Unforgivable Sin and all who do this will forgo any chance of salvation or being saved according to Revelation 14:9,10,11! And this coming leader will definitely be Muslim. Yes, that's right, the anti-christ will be Muslim and will come from the Middle East, not the Revived Roman Empire as many teach. He will come from TURKEY, where the bible says the throne of satan sits upon the earth.

Bismallah



In the Bible, the Book of Revelation cryptically asserts the number 666 to be "the number of a man" who is associated with the "beast." The reader is then challenged to decipher the symbolism of this number—a challenge that has inspired mystics and would-be prophets ever since.

Today, nearly two thousand years after the Book of Revelation was written, the Mark of the Beast remains an enigma to most. The long list of presumptions and theories surrounding the Mark, while solidly grounded in the popular culture and thinking of our day, fail to provide any specific or biblically sound explanation for this puzzle.

Perhaps the Mark of the Beast, like some of the other prophetic riddles, was intended to remain shrouded in mystery until the appointed time.

An Invaluable Insight

In previous chapters, it has been proposed that Islam is the key to understanding many of the prophecies concerning the end of the age. Might this also be the case regarding the Mark of the Beast? A recent discovery has led many to believe so.

In what is considered by some to be the ultimate in irony, it appears as though a man who was once a devout Muslim may have solved one of the great Bible mysteries of all time.

In this chapter, we are going to examine what is believed by many to be the first truly plausible explanation for the infamous Mark of the Beast. The source of this discovery is an ex-Muslim turned Christian who noticed something very peculiar while studying a specific passage in the Book of Revelation. Indeed, in his 2005 book entitled, *Why I left Jihad*, Walid Shoebat brings to light a

simple, yet profound, observation that will forever change the way many people look at this ancient Bible mystery.

After centuries of dead-end theories and fruitless attempts at decoding the number 666, it seems that one of the missing ingredients needed to solve this age-old riddle was an individual well versed in both the Bible and the religion of Islam, and who was also looking in the right place at the right time.

Without a doubt, Walid's explanation for the Mark of the Beast approaches the topic from a completely new perspective— one previously unexplored by those who have sought to identify the true origins of the Antichrist.

But First, Some Background

Before we delve into the details of this discovery, we are first going to address some of the commonly held beliefs and perceptions surrounding the Mark and, also, explore some of the dilemmas faced by those who have previously grappled with this mystery. This will ultimately serve to enhance our appreciation of what can only now be recognized as the decidedly clear-cut meaning that is has held all along.

We begin our brief background study by asking the most fundamental question of all.

What Is the Mark?

The understanding held by most with respect to the Mark of the Beast, or "666," is that this " *mark*," or "*number*," is an identifying sign of the Antichrist and his followers. Many also believe that the Mark will somehow be tattooed *on*, or physically implanted *into*, the Antichrist's minions. Yet, beyond these basic notions, few have given the matter much thought.

For those who seek the answers, however, the Bible does provide some crucial information about this mark: First and foremost, according to Scripture, the Mark is essentially used as a means to distinguish the followers of the Beast from the followers of God. By accepting the Mark of the Beast, one displays his dedication to the Antichrist and, at the same time, his opposition to the God of the Bible.

The Scriptures also tell us that those who take the Mark will be subject to some unique benefits, as well as some extreme punishments. For instance, the Book of Revelation speaks of a time when the only ones allowed to buy or sell will be those who have taken the Mark of the Beast: "And that no man might buy or sell, save he that had the mark…"(Revelation 13:17). Conversely, we learn that these same people will be subject to God's divine punishment for taking the Mark: "The same shall drink of the wine of the wrath of God…" (Revelation 14:10).

Is the Mark a Product of Technology?

With the advent of modern technology, some students of prophecy have assumed that the Mark may somehow be related to a type of invisible bar code system or, perhaps, a microchip implant with the number 666 encoded into it. Although, these scenarios do not seem very realistic, or practical, when one considers the fact that much of the world's population—particularly many of the remote areas in the Middle East—have yet to see a credit card, or a bar code scanner, for that matter.

Still other problems crop up that seem to render the technology based scenarios implausible. To begin with, there is the obvious difficulty associated with implanting untold numbers of people with a microchip or marking them with some type of bar code. Further, if a microchip tracking system became a reality, it is conceivable that one could approach a person who is sleeping—or in an otherwise vulnerable position—and literally force the mark upon them, thus labeling them as a follower of the Beast without the individual ever having a choice in the matter. The Bible makes it clear that those who take the Mark will be cast into Hell. Considering this, it does not stand to reason that God would allow this fate to befall those who were *forced* to take the Mark and therefore robbed of the opportunity to exercise their free will and refuse it.

"Let him that hath understanding..."

As we are beginning to see, the traditional explanations for the Mark of the Beast often lead to unsatisfactory conclusions. Adding to these difficulties, we are also faced with the all-important question of how to decode the number 666. This, of course, lies at the very heart of the issue, as this number apparently holds a critical clue with respect to the identity of the Antichrist. This is made evident in the Bible verse that initially introduces this cryptic number:

Here is wisdom. Let him that hath understanding count the number of the beast: for it is the number of a man; and his number is Six hundred threescore and six.

—Revelation 13:18

Here, we find the "number of the beast," as it is referred to in the Scriptures (Six hundred threescore and six). Yet, how is one to make sense of this number? We conclude from the verse that this number is, again, associated with "a man," but beyond that we are given no further clues.

The Trouble With 666

In our search for the relationship between this number and the Antichrist, we begin with some very old Bible scrolls that appear to record the number 616 instead of 666. There is also a record of at least one copy having the number 665. Obviously, these inconsistencies present some problems for those hoping to decode the meaning of these digits. Even if one were to eliminate the two anomalies (616 and 665) and focus on the widely accepted 666, there are multiple reasons why attempting to decode a *number* presents a problem.

For example, a popular technique that many students and scholars have employed in their efforts to decipher the meaning of 666 is the *Gematria*. The Gematria is a mystical form of numerology that assigns a numerical value to each letter of any given name. The sum totals of the letters are then added up, resulting in the number of that individual's name.

While intriguing, there are a variety of problems associated with this approach. The most obvious being that this practice has been labeled as *occultism* by many, which is strictly forbidden in the Bible. Also, one could imagine that almost any name might be manipulated in some fashion to produce the number 666. For instance, this method has been used to identify numerous public figures as the Antichrist, including our own Ronald Reagan, as well as Mikhail Gorbachev, and even Prince Charles!

An additional problem with the Gematria arises in determining which *language* should be used when assigning the numerical values. Despite the fact that the Gematria is rooted in the Hebrew alphabet, many scholars believe, for various reasons, that Greek, Latin, or even English, should be applied to solving this particular riddle. The problems only compound from there.

Faced with the increasingly daunting task of decoding this number, we pause to consider a key question: Would God tell us in this verse to "count the number of the beast" if it were an unsolvable puzzle with many possible answers? The answer is, of course, no. This verse was included in the Bible so that it could be understood by the reader. Though, again, perhaps it would not be fully understood until the appointed time.

It's Greek to Me


Having addressed the numerous difficulties associated with this ancient riddle, we return to the original source of a recent and eye-opening discovery: author and speaker, Walid Shoebat. Evidently, while studying the aforementioned Bible passage, which reveals the "number of the beast" in its original language From Abraham to Armageddon 20 4 (Greek), Walid immediately noticed a peculiarity that led him to question whether the number 666 was actually intended to be a number at all. Was it possible that this "number" was originally something altogether different?

What Walid had noticed, through his Arab—and formerly Muslim—eyes, was that the Greek letters used to denote the number 666 (Chi Xi Stigma), looked very much like Arabic words and Islamic symbols. In fact, they looked eerily similar to the Arabic phrase "In the name of Allah," followed by the symbol of two crossed swords!


Owing to his insider's perspective, Walid recognized that the crossed swords are universally used to signify *Islam*. They can be found on virtually every letterhead within the Islamic world, as well as the emblems or logos of most Islamic groups. He was also, of course, well aware that "In the name of Allah, most gracious, most merciful," is the most commonly used phrase throughout all of Islam.

One can only imagine the effect that this jaw dropping revelation must have had on Walid, as he noticed the correlations between the Greek and Arabic symbols. Incredibly, the only difference

between the Islamic phrase and the Greek text was that of orientation: In the Greek text, the characters appeared to be positioned sideways, or *vertically*, rather than *horizontally*. The images below help to illustrate.




The image on the far left is from the Codex Vaticanus (Greek) Bible, A.D. 350, and illustrates exactly what the characters Chi Xi Stigma, or "666," look like in the *original New Testament* text. The center image is Arabic and is commonly seen throughout the Islamic world. It spells out "In the name of Allah," followed by two crossed swords (note that Arabic reads from right to left). The image on the far right is, again, taken from the Codex Vaticanus but with the symbols that resemble "in the name of Allah" flipped, as the Arabic would read. Note the striking similarities between the center and right side images.




In this image, we have the Greek "Xi," exactly as it appears in the Codex Vaticanus, next to a flipped "Allah."

The similarities between the flipped Codex Vaticanus and the Arabic symbols are, quite simply, astonishing...For the sake of further comparison, let us take a look at some other examples.



Above, we have four different variations of the phrase "in the name of Allah, most gracious, most merciful," as it appears in Arabic. In spite of the diversity in style and character placement, the phrase that Walid found in the Greek text, "In the Name of Allah," or "Bism Allah" in Arabic, can be clearly picked out (toward the right side) in each version.



Here, we have two additional examples of Greek Bible texts. The one on the right is a Hinds & Noble interlinear version that provides the English translation directly beneath the Greek text ([is] 666). The "sideways" Allah and the crossed swords are clearly identifiable in each version.

Again, in spite of the slight style variations, there is no mistaking the uncanny likeness between the Greek and Arabic characters and symbols. Common sense would seem to dictate that the odds of this happening by sheer coincidence are beyond calculation. Could it be that the true meaning of the Mark of the Beast has been hidden in plain sight all along?

"How has this remained undiscovered?"

Presuming that the Mark of the Beast is, in fact, "in the name of Allah," certain questions come immediately to mind; the most obvious being, how could this go undetected for nearly two thousand years? Unfortunately, we can only speculate as to the combination of events that may have led to the initial concealment of the Mark, but there is a scenario that seems plausible.

A Likely Chain of Events

As chronicled in the Book of Revelation, the Apostle John was given a vision of the future in which he was told by an angel to write down what he saw. In this vision, rather than being shown the Greek letters that represent the number 666, John may have actually been shown Arabic words and symbols that he could not understand but, nevertheless, faithfully recorded to the best of his ability.

Allowing for this possibility, it seems likely that the scribes who were later commissioned to copy the original text would have been unable to recognize the foreign (Arabic) words and symbols as recorded by John. Faced with these odd-looking characters, they might have done exactly what many people in their position would do, which is to assume a mistake or, perhaps, some poor penmanship on John's part. Thus, in an effort to make sense of these markings, they may have chosen to slightly modify them into the Greek characters that they most closely resembled.

While all of this is purely conjecture, it most definitely merits careful consideration and also begs a further question: Is it possible that God, in His all-knowing wisdom, allowed this to happen, realizing that the meaning behind these symbols would remain undetected until the end times? When one considers the manner in which prophecy has been revealed in the past, this possibility makes sense on many levels.

"Why is this being revealed now?"

It has been said that when God wants to bring understanding to the forefront, He enables ordinary men and women to discover what has been hidden. Throughout the ages, we find this process at work. As the time of fulfillment nears, elements of the Bible prophecies are understood. For instance, when it came time to discern the timing of Christ's birth, the wise men from the East determined from the Scriptures that the moment had come (Matthew 2:2).

Could it be that the current generation has been called to understand the prophecies concerning the Beast and its Mark, as this same generation will witness their fulfillment? While it is not inconceivable that someone might have discovered the correlations between these symbols and characters at some point earlier in history, it would most likely have escaped detection without the necessary insight of looking to *Islam* and *Allah* as being the force behind the final Antichrist Kingdom.

Again, perhaps as intended, it has not been until recent years that certain persons of discernment have cast their eyes toward Islam and its possible role in the end times.

More Translational Issues?

In light of our new found perspective on the Mark of the Beast, we now reconsider the Bible verse that initially introduced the Mark (Revelation 13:18).

In order to put Walid's discovery to the test, we will replace "Six hundred threescore and six" with "in the name of Allah"— noting what effect this substitution has on our overall understanding of the verse:

Here is wisdom. Let him that hath understanding count the number of the beast: for it is the number of a man; and his number "is in the name of Allah."

—Revelation 13:18 (modified)

Admittedly, after inserting "in the name of Allah," the words "*count*" and "*number*" seem to be slightly out of tune with the rest of the verse. Clearly, these words were intended to reference a *number* and not a *name*. Even so, is this awkward wording enough to invalidate the theory?

Our experience up until now tells us that minor translational issues can, indeed, play a part in our overall understanding of a verse. Therefore, focusing on the two words in question, we once again turn to the original Greek text of the Bible:

The first word, "number," is translated from the Greek word, arithmos. Arithmos may also be accurately translated as multitude (peoples and nations). The second word, "count," which originates from the Greek, psephizo, is also often translated as reckon, or decide.

Obviously, when this verse was originally translated it was done so with the understanding that the original author, John, had written a number (Chi Xi Stigma) rather than a name (Arabic characters). It would then stand to reason that the translators, when choosing an equivalent for the Greek word, *arithmos*, would have chosen "*number*," in lieu of *multitude*. From their perspective, the context of the verse would seem to validate this choice.

Likewise, the same reasoning would have been applied when translating the Greek word, *psephizo*. The translators would have logically chosen "*count*," instead of its alternate translation, *decide*, or *reckon*, as it would seem to fit more with the reference to a *number* (Chi Xi Stigma).

In order to illustrate to what extent these translational issues can color the subtleties of a passage, we will insert the alternate translations of the original Greek words, *arithmos* and *psephizo*, into Revelation 13:18, and then compare the modified verse to the original.

Below, we have two versions of verse 18. The first appears exactly as it is found in the King James Version of the Bible:

Here is wisdom. Let him that hath understanding count the number of the beast: for it is the number of a man; and his number is Six hundred threescore and six.

—Revelation 13:18

Here, in the second version, we have replaced the words in question with their alternate translations:

Here is wisdom. Let him that hath understanding <u>decide</u> the <u>multitude</u> of the beast: for it is the multitude of a man; and his multitude is "in the name of Allah."

—Revelation 13:18 (alternate translation)

By simply replacing the key words with their equally accurate and, perhaps, more appropriate translations, the verse is transformed. The references to "the multitude of the beast" and "the multitude of a man" clearly refer to the legions of Muslims who worship the "beast" (Islam), as well as the religion that was founded not by God but by a "man" (Muhammed). The last line of the verse indicates that "his multitude is in the name of Allah," further describing the vast numbers of Muslims that worship Allah as god.

Remarkably, these seemingly inconsequential judgment calls on the part of translators appear to be the very factor that has enabled the Mark of the Beast to remain an enigma for nearly two thousand years.

At this point, although we have dispelled much of the mystery surrounding the Mark by unraveling its true meaning, there is still a key piece of this puzzle that remains unsolved—which prompts an all-important question.

How Might the Mark Be Manifested?

The Bible tells us that the Antichrist will require "all" people to "receive" the Mark. Assuming the Mark to be "in the name of Allah," what might this indicate? In our search for an answer to this question, Revelation 13:16 is a good place to begin:

And he causeth all, both small and great, rich and poor, free and bond, to receive a mark in their <u>right hand</u>, or in their <u>foreheads</u>:

-Revelation 13:16

This verse tells us that all must receive the Mark "in their right Hand" or "in their forehead." Does this mean that "in the name of Allah" will be literally stamped onto the forehead or right hand like a tattoo or, perhaps, encoded onto a microchip and implanted into the followers of the Beast? Again, these options remain somewhat unrealistic for the reasons that we noted earlier. In view of this, as we search for a plausible answer, we are wise to return to the most untainted source, which is the original Greek text of the Bible.

By doing some research, we find that the Greek word, *charagma*, which has been translated to "*mark*" in this verse, actually refers to a badge of servitude. Strong's Hebrew Lexicon defines *charagma* as the "*badge*" of the followers of the Antichrist. Thus, the word *charagma* seems to suggest something that is worn rather than permanently tattooed, or implanted like a microchip.

Further, it is worth noting that the phrase "right hand" comes from the Greek, dexios, which may also be translated as "the right side" in general. This implies that the Mark, or badge, might also be displayed on the right arm and is, therefore, not necessarily limited to the right hand.

Nonetheless, assuming the Mark to be some sort of badge that displays the name of Allah, what might we expect this to look like?

The Badge of Servitude

Yet once more, we note the widespread use of the Shahada within the Muslim world. As we know, the Shahada is essentially a declaration of allegiance, or servitude, to Allah and is commonly recited as follows: "There is no god but Allah, and Muhammed is his prophet." The inscription of this declaration is already worn (as a badge) by millions on the forehead or right arm. It can be seen on Muslim demonstrators and jihadists everywhere.



Note the various forms of the Islamic creed, or "Shahada," imprinted on the headbands (or foreheads) of these jihadists. In most of the images the name of Allah is easily identifiable.

Needless to say, the Bible's description of the Beast's followers receiving a "mark" no longer seems to be an odd concept. In fact, within the context of Islam, the idea now makes perfect sense and has

taken on an altogether contemporary feel.

It is certain that as the Antichrist's Empire comes to power, and people are compelled to declare their loyalty to Allah, the Mark, or declaration of allegiance, will be worn by multitudes of faithful Muslims, as well as some who are, perhaps, merely attempting to avoid persecution—which brings up another interesting question.

Must One Display the Name of Allah to Be Considered a Follower of the Beast?

Although we have focused specifically on the actual physical *wearing* of the Mark, it is also quite likely that the Mark carries just as much meaning in the *spiritual* sense—meaning that a simple declaration of belief in Allah would *spiritually mark* one as a follower of the Beast. Therefore, in God's eyes, it would not necessarily be required for one to outwardly *wear* the Mark in order to be counted among the followers of Allah.

We find scriptural support for this concept in the Book of Revelation, which speaks of God's people being "sealed" (Revelation 7:4) with the "Father's name written on their foreheads" (Revelation 14:1). This denotes a spiritual seal that identifies one as belonging to God.

It is interesting to note that the Mark of the Beast and the Seal of God are both *names* that are placed on the *foreheads* of the people who receive them. Evidently, the Beast is attempting to duplicate God's program by similarly inscribing his mark, or name, on the foreheads of his followers. This tendency to counterfeit the work of God is a recurring theme and is also a distinctive satanic trait which is grounded in the fact that Satan wishes to be like God and actually desires to accept worship in place of Him.

Conclusion

Our study of the Mark of the Beast has demonstrated that these particular verses—in order to be fully understood—had to be viewed from the proper perspective and, perhaps, from a particular juncture in time.

Once again, the religion of Islam, placed in the role of antichrist, has opened a door to understanding the ancient prophecies— exposing what was previously shrouded in mystery.

As we conclude this chapter, in which we have quite possibly uncovered a truth that has escaped the scrutiny of countless Bible scholars throughout the centuries, we learn the fate of those souls who have been deceived by Satan and have taken the Mark of the Beast:

And the third angel followed them, saying with a loud voice, If any man worship the beast and his image, and receive his mark in his forehead, or in his hand, The same shall drink of the wine of the

wrath of God, which is poured out without mixture into the cup of His indignation; and he shall be tormented with fire and brimstone in the presence of the holy angels, and in the presence of the

Lamb: And the smoke of their torment ascendeth up for ever and ever: and they have no rest day nor night, who worship the beast and his image, and whosoever receiveth the mark of his name.

—Revelation 14:9-11



Here is an example of the Islamic Shahada Armband.

Rev 13:16 And he causeth all, both small and great, rich and poor, free and bond, to receive a mark in their right hand, or in their foreheads:






Islamic Shahada armband.




Islamic Shahid headband.



"to the shores of Tripoli"





Quote

"~The real reason Thomas Jefferson owned a copy of the Quran~

A 232 Year History of our fight against Islam & why it is no longer taught in our public schools...

When Thomas Jefferson saw there was no negotiating with Muslims, he formed what is now the Marines (sea going soldiers). These Marines were attached to U. S. Merchant vessels. When the Muslims attacked U.S. merchant vessels they were repulsed by armed soldiers, but there is more.

The Marines followed the Muslims back to their villages and killed every man, woman, and child in the village.

It didn't take long for the Muslims to leave U.S. Merchant vessels alone.

English and French merchant vessels started running up our flag when entering the Mediterranean to secure safe travel.

Why the Marine Hymn contains the verse, "To the Shores of Tripoli".

This is very interesting and a must read piece of our history. It points out

where we may be heading.

Most Americans are unaware of the fact that over two hundred years ago the United States had declared war on Islam, and Thomas Jefferson led the charge!

At the height of the 18th century, Muslim pirates (the "Barbary Pirates") were the terror of the Mediterranean and a large area of the North Atlantic

.

They attacked every ship in sight, and held the crews for exorbitant ransoms. Those taken hostage were subjected to barbaric treatment and wrote heart-breaking letters home, begging their governments and families to pay whatever their Mohammedan captors demanded.

These extortionists of the high seas represented the North African Islamic nations of Tripoli, Tunis, Morocco, and Algiers – collectively referred to as the Barbary Coast – and presented a dangerous and unprovoked threat to the new American Republic.

Before the Revolutionary War, U.S. merchant ships had been under the protection of Great Britain . When the U.S. declared its independence and entered into war, the ships of the United States were protected by France.

However, once the war was won, America had to protect its own fleets.

Thus, the birth of the U.S. Navy. Beginning in 1784, 17 years before he would become president, Thomas Jefferson became America's Minister to France. That same year, the U.S. Congress sought to appease its Muslim adversaries by following in the footsteps of European nations who paid bribes to the Barbary States rather than engaging them in war.

In July of 1785, Algerian pirates captured American ships, and the Dye of Algiers demanded an unheard-of ransom of \$60,000. It was a plain and simple case of extortion, and Thomas Jefferson was vehemently opposed to any further payments.

Instead, he proposed to Congress the formation of a coalition of allied nations who together could force the Islamic states into peace. A disinterested Congress decided to pay the ransom.

In 1786, Thomas Jefferson and John Adams met with Tripoli's ambassador to Great Britain to ask by what right his nation attacked American ships and enslaved American citizens, and why Muslims held so much hostility towards America, a nation with which they had no previous contacts.

The two future presidents reported that Ambassador Sidi Haji Abdul Rahman Adja had answered that Islam "was founded on the Laws of their Prophet, that it was written in their Quran that all nations who would not acknowledge their authority were sinners, that it was their right and duty to make war upon them wherever they could be found, and to make slaves of all they could take as prisoners, and that every Musselman (Muslim) who should be slain in battle was sure to go to Paradise."

Despite this stunning admission of premeditated violence on non-Muslim nations, as well as the objections of many notable American leaders, including George Washington, who warned that caving in was both wrong and would only further embolden the enemy, for the following fifteen years, the u\American government paid the Muslims millions of dollars for the safe passage of American ships or the return of American hostages.

The payments in ransom and tribute amounted to over 20 percent of the United States government annual revenues in 1800.

Jefferson was disgusted. Shortly after his being sworn in as the third President of the United States in 1801, the Pasha of Tripoli sent him a note demanding the immediate payment of \$225,000 plus \$25,000 a year for every year forthcoming.

That changed everything.

Jefferson let the Pasha know, in no uncertain terms, what he could do with his demand. The Pasha responded by cutting down the flagpole at the American consulate and declared war on the United States.

Tunis, Morocco, and Algiers immediately followed suit.

Jefferson, until now, had been against America raising a naval force for anything beyond coastal defense, but, having watched his nation be cowed by Islamic thuggery for long enough, decided that it was finally time to meet force with force.

He dispatched a squadron of frigates to the Mediterranean and taught the Muslim nations of the Barbary Coast a lesson he hoped they would never forget. Congress authorized Jefferson to empower U.S. ships to seize all vessels and goods of the Pasha of Tripoli and to "cause to be done all other acts of precaution or hostility as the state of war would justify".

When Algiers and Tunis, who were both accustomed to American cowardice and acquiescence, saw the newly independent United States had both the will and the right to strike back, they quickly abandoned their allegiance to Tripoli.

The war with Tripoli lasted for four more years, and raged up again in 1815. The bravery of the U.S. Marine Corps in these wars led to the line "to the shores of Tripoli" in the

Marine Hymn, and they would forever be known as "leathernecks" for the leather collars of their uniforms, designed to prevent their heads from being cut off by the Muslim scimitars when boarding enemy ships.

Islam, and what its Barbary followers justified doing in the name of their prophet and their god, disturbed Jefferson quite deeply.

America had a tradition of religious tolerance. In fact Jefferson, himself, had coauthored the Virginia Statute for Religious Freedom, but fundamentalist Islam was like no other religion the world had ever seen.

A religion based on supremacy, whose holy book not only condoned but mandated violence against unbelievers, was unacceptable to him.

His greatest fear was that someday this brand of Islam would return and pose an even greater threat to the United States .

This should concern every American. That Muslims have brought about women-only classes and swimming times in America at taxpayer-funded universities and public pools; that Christians, Jews, and Hindus have been banned from serving on juries where Muslim defendants are being judged; Piggy banks and Porky Pig tissue dispensers have been banned from workplaces because they offend Islamist sensibilities; ice cream has been discontinued at certain Burger King locations because the picture on the wrapper looks similar to the Arabic script for Allah; public schools are pulling pork from their menus; on and on and on and on.

It's death by a thousand cuts, or inch-by-inch as some refer to it, and most Americans have no idea that this battle is being waged every day across America. By not fighting back, by allowing groups to obfuscate what is really happening, and not insisting that the Islamists adapt to our culture, the United States is cutting its own throat with a politically correct knife, and helping to further the Islamists' agenda.

Sadly, it appears that today America's leaders would rather be politically correct than victorious!

IF YOU DO NOT REMEMBER THE PAST, YOU ARE DOOMED TO REPEAT IT.